

United States Department of Agriculture









- Authorized in the 2018 Farm Bill
- A component of Conservation Innovation Grants, which is itself a part of the Environmental Quality **Incentives Program (EQIP)**
- Includes the Soil Health Demo Trial priority





On-Farm Trials vs. CIG Classic 🔷 🔷









CIG Classic

Projects support the *development* of new approaches and technologies to further natural resource conservation on private lands

On-Farm Trials

Projects support widespread *adoption* and evaluation of innovative approaches, and awardees must offer incentive payments to participating producers



Natural Resources Conservation



2020 Competition and Awards 🔾 🗘 🗘 🗸









Priority	# Applied	# Awarded	\$ Awarded
Precision Agriculture	6	2	4.8M
Soil Health Demonstration Trials	51	6	11M
Mgmt. Technologies & Strategies	29	4	6.5M
Irrigation Mgmt. Technologies	11	2	<u>2M</u>
Totals	s: 97	14	24.3M



Application Essentials

NFO posted on Grants.gov

Opportunity number USDA-NRCS-NHQ-CIG-21-NOFO0001094

Applications submitted through the Programs Portal

eAuthentication (eAuth) level-2 credentials required



Proposals due June 21, 2021





On-Farm Trials Funding 🔾 🔾 🗘 🗘 🗘 🗸

Up to \$25 million available

\$5 million maximum award size

\$250,000 minimum award size

Projects 3-5 years in duration



On-Farm Trials – 2020 Priorities 🕒 🕒 🔾

- Irrigation Management
- Climate Smart Agricultural Solutions

- Management Technologies and Strategies
- Soil Health Demonstration Trials



Natural Resources Conservation Service



Soil Health Demo Trial 🔘 🔘 🔷 🔷



- NRCS intends to spend at least \$10 million of total On-Farm Trials Funding on applications under the Soil Health Demo Trial priority.
- Supports more widespread adoption of soil health management systems and practices.
- Awardees required to use consistent evaluation protocols and methods for assessing soil carbon changes and other soil health outcomes (including economics).
- Awardees consent to NRCS using data for Congressionally-mandated report.



On-Farm Trials—How It Works 🔘 🔾









- 1. Incentive payments to producers to implement innovation
- 2. Technical assistance to help producers with implementation
- 3. Evaluation funding to entities to robustly assess natural resource and economic (and to the extent possible, social) outcomes

Resources Conservation

Natural



Events in a typical project \bigcirc \bigcirc \bigcirc

- **OFT proposal submitted-due June 21**
- NRCS award announcements— October 2021
- Agreements finalized— January 2022
- Partner consults with NRCS technical contact
- Partner identifies participating producers for onfarm trials



Natural Resources Conservation

Events in a typical project \bigcirc \bigcirc \bigcirc

- Partner coordinates with NRCS to affirm producer eligibility
- Partner makes incentive payments to participating producers
- Partner provides technical assistance to participating producers
- Over time, partner evaluates conservation (economics and social optional) benefits of trials
- Partner submits semi-annual progress reports, annual financial reports, and ultimately a final project report

Resources Conservation Service

Natural



Eligible Entities



NGOs with experience working with agricultural producers

business is related to agriculture

 Non-Federal government agencies (including Universities)



Natural Resources Conservation Service



Producer Eligibility





*incentive payments do not count toward a producer's EQIP payment limitation.

 Must be in compliance with Highly Erodible Lands/Wetlands Conservation provisions

Adjusted Gross Income

*waivers may be requested



NRCS Responsibilities 🔘 🔾 🔾 🔾 🔾















NEPA activities

 Highly Erodible Lands/Wetlands Conservation, AGI and EQIP eligibility checks

- Technical oversight
- Technical assistance at partner request



Natural Conservation

Matching Funds



- A minimum of 25% of the federal share of the agreement is required in partner match
- Magnitude and significance of value-added match (cash and in-kind support) are considered in project evaluation criteria
- Examples of value-added match—producer outreach and education, communications activities, environmental monitoring



Additional Key Points



- Priority consideration given to projects that meaningfully involve historically underserved producers.
- No On-Farm Trials funding can be used to pay for partner indirect costs.
- Direct administrative expenses (e.g., filling out required reports, organizing partner meetings) are allowable costs.

Resources Conservation Service

Natural



On-Farm Trials



Questions and Answers

NRCSCIG@USDA.GOV



